Walking is a fundamental part of living dependent on the function and interaction of the visual, mechanical and neurological health of the individual (1). Its importance is not limited by age, race or medical status. Reduced walking speed, or gait velocity (GV) is a sign of advancing age, poor response to rehabilitation, age-related diseases, cardiovascular disease, dementia, and early mortality (2). A deterioration in how we walk, including reduced GV, is associated with poor health outcomes, including spinal health (3). GV is of such importance that it has been dubbed “the 6th vital sign” (1).

There is nominal literature linking walking metrics, including GV, with assessment of disability and post intervention recovery of the spine patient. Walking serves as an important objective measure of our spine health, free of the subjective bias inherent in patient reported outcome measures (4). The importance of GV is well documented for general health outcomes, however is now gaining increased interest in the spine arena. This includes:

(I) Lumbar spinal stenosis (4-6). A number of articles have recently documented the use of GV as powerful metric in the assessment of the patient with Lumbar Spinal Stenosis, and identified this metric as a relevant tool for the objective measurement of outcome and recovery.

(II) Lumbar disc herniation (7). There is a significant correlation between gait parameters including GV, and functional disability in patients with Lumbar Disc Herniation. However, data is lacking on the use of this metric for post intervention recovery following microdiscectomy, and this requires further investigation.

(III) Identification of complications post spinal surgery (8). Wearable devices measuring gait, including GV, have been used not only as a research tool, but in the remote monitoring of patients’ post-surgery for continuous assessment of recovery. There is a case report of remote monitoring to identify a complication post microdiscectomy with patient contact for relevant imaging and further intervention. This is a landmark publication and reveals the future of smart devices to assist in the automation of patient management.

(IV) Low back pain (9). Pain intensity can negatively affect spatiotemporal gait parameters, in particular GV, in patients with Low Back Pain, such as discogenic or facetogenic pain generators. Further studies are required to document relevant improvements in gait metrics following interventions such as injection therapies, physical therapy or indeed surgery.

(V) Cervical myelopathy (10). Patients with cervical myelopathy have a slower GV and reduced cadence compared with healthy controls. Surgical decompression improves clinical outcomes and GV, and is a relevant indicator of recovery.
This commentary highlights the importance of GV to record decline and recovery of various common spinal disorders, and reveals why spine care providers should add this metric to the initial assessment, and ongoing care of the spine patient. Table 1 identifies the “normal” walking speeds of male vs. female at various age categories (11). This data can be used by the spine care provider to assist with identifying the degree of disability of a patient on initial presentation to the clinic. GV can be recorded using either observational tools (12) in the clinic setting (timed walk along a known distance with step count), or using wearable devices (3,4,6).

There are multiple stakeholders interested in objective, non-biased, patient assessment. These include governments, hospitals, insurance providers, medical companies, physicians, surgeons and the patients themselves. Traditionally the use of subjective assessment tools has been difficult and costly to administer; fraught with bias, compliance and timing issues to name a few. It is the responsibility of the spine care provider to demonstrate benefit of a given intervention. As spine surgeons and providers of spine care, we must accept the impending transition phase from the ‘subjective’ to the ‘objective’ era of patient assessment.

In addition to the above, future applications of objective gait assessment for the monitoring of spine patients will likely expand rapidly in a post COVID-19 world. The role of telehealth and remote patient monitoring using wearable devices to evaluate overall health and gait, to provide assessment and care of the spine patient (13), and assist with complex decision making (14), represents the next phase of patient care in our specialty. Based on these summarized findings and expanding literature on this topic, we encourage spinal surgeons to consider the addition of gait analysis to expand their outcome assessment and objectivity measure pre and post intervention progress.

How we walk is a summary index of our health, and key indicator of disability, decline and recovery.
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