Introduction

Full-endoscopic spine surgery (FESS) is a less invasive technique compared with the conventional open or mini-open technique. Several studies have demonstrated the efficacy of FESS (1-4). Intraoperative X-ray fluoroscopic guidance is typically used during FESS for the confirmation of orientation. However, X-ray fluoroscopy provides only intermittent two-dimensional (2D) information. Conversely, three-dimensional (3D) navigation using cone beam computed tomography (CBCT) or computed tomography (CT) can provide imaging projections of the operative field and instruments in three dimensions (5,6). We herein report the adaptation of 3D navigation during FESS in a hybrid operating room (OR) which allows for the integration of intraoperative CBCT data into the navigation system to renew the registered information. This is the first report about the adaptation of the real-time 3D navigation during FESS in a hybrid OR. The feasibility and usefulness of this system have been discussed.
Methods

In total, 23 patients who underwent FESS accompanied by 3D navigation in a hybrid OR between January 2016 and November 2019 were enrolled. Medical records, intraoperative endoscopic video, navigation records, and navigation video were retrospectively reviewed to evaluate the feasibility and usefulness of 3D navigation in FESS.

Preoperative setting

The surgical setup employed is demonstrated in Figure 1A,B. A multiaxis robotic C arm (Artis zeego; Siemens AG, Forchheim, Germany) and image-guided navigation system (BrainLAB Curve; Brainlab, Munich, Germany) were available in this hybrid OR. After loading the preoperative thin-slice (2-mm) CT scan into the navigation system, the camera of the navigator was placed to direct toward the reference arc, which was placed in the pole attached with the operating table for patients with thoracolumbar disease and in the Mayfield skull clamp for patients with cervical spine disease (Figure 1C,D,E,F). For patients with lumbar pathology, the navigation reference array was always placed within 40 cm from the region of interest. Automatic registration was used for all cases in this hybrid OR.

CBCT, image fusion and automatic registration

After positioning the patient and before starting surgery, 3D CT images were obtained using CBCT and transferred to the computer-assisted navigation system. The imaging reconstructions were generated in a few seconds. The resulting images were automatically registered to the patient, because the C-arm was previously calibrated and is tacked using reflective stickers. The intraoperative CT data was integrated with the preoperatively registered 3D simulation data.

Operative technique

All navigated probes and instrumentation were calibrated to check the accuracy. Navigation was used both as confirmation of position and to access direction. For the transforaminal approach, the 3D navigation system can provide projection images directed by the navigation probe from the skin to the target or the foramen by estimating the Kambin’s triangle. For the interlaminar approach, a paramedian skin incision was planned using a navigated probe. After setting a working cannula, we can use the navigated spatula through the working channel.

Case presentation

Case 1

A 54-year-old man with intermittent claudication since >3 years was diagnosed with lumbar canal stenosis due to L4–L5 disc herniation and thickened ligamentum flavum. He was suffering from the right leg pain. The patient underwent discography via the transforaminal approach and percutaneous endoscopic lumbar discectomy via the interlaminar approach. The surgery was performed in the hybrid OR guided by real-time 3D navigation. After the patient was positioned on the table, CBCT was performed before the start of surgery; the CT data was registered into the navigation system when the reference arc was placed in the pole on the operating table. Before the insertion of the working cannula mounting endoscope, intraoperative discography was performed for diagnosis of the condition and to determine the extent of the nucleus; this was also added as the renewed CT data to the navigation system. Next, 3D navigation was used to determine the trajectory via the transforaminal route, which provided the visualized 3D information, or the patient’s images and projection images directed by the navigation probe (Figure 2A). The working cannula was inserted under guidance by 3D navigation (Figure 2B). After confirmation of the surrounding structures, discectomy and decompression were completely achieved endoscopically (Figure 2C). During the entire procedure, 3D navigation allowed real-time visualization of the position. The postoperative course was uneventful, and the patient’s gait disturbance and right leg pain subsided immediately.

Case 2

The patient was a 74-year-old woman with a history of persistent low back pain and intermittent claudication for 5 years. She developed numbness and motor weakness in both legs 3 months prior to referral to our clinic. Radiographic examination showed grade 1 degenerative spondylolisthesis. Preoperative magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) revealed a decrease in the disc height at the level of L4–L5 and L4–L5 anterolisthesis. Reconstructed CT images showed severe narrowing of the interlaminar space due to the degenerative changes (Figure 3A). Owing to her comorbidity, she underwent less invasive percutaneous endoscopic lumbar laminectomy. The patient was placed...
Figure 1 Operating room set up. (A) This is an intraoperative view showing the surgeon looking at the attached monitors of both the endoscope and the neuronavigation system. (B) Reference setting, intraoperative computed tomography, and automatic registration. The red circles indicate the reflective calibration stickers that allow the navigation system to “see” the C-arm. (C) The patient is positioned adjusting the center line between the spinous process and the center of C-arm rotation at which the green laser guidance shows midline. Operative setting for cervical pathologies. (D) This is the starting position of the C arm before acquiring the 3D scan. (E) The navigation pointer shows the entry to the pathology from the skin. (F) The navigation monitor in the hybrid operation room.
in the prone position on the operative table, and then, CBCT was performed. CBCT data was registered as current data in the navigation system. The real-time 3D navigation system accurately guided the surgeon to the narrowed right interlaminar space at the level of L4–L5 (Figure 3B). The interlaminar space was opened by partial laminectomy, and the thickened flavum ligamentum was removed. We confirmed the entry point to the contralateral side by navigation and direct visualization. Subsequently, we were able to perform decompression of the lateral recess on the contralateral side, protecting the dura matter with flavum ligamentum (Figure 3C). Complete decompression was achieved until the contralateral lateral recess. Postoperatively, the patient showed complete resolution of the symptoms.

Case 3
A 64-year-old man presented with left arm pain and numbness for 6 months. On physical examination, the patient showed 4+ motor strength in the left triceps, attenuated brachioradialis reflex on the left side, and numbness in the area of C7 distribution. MRI of the cervical spine demonstrated left foraminal encroachment at the C6–C7 level. Despite conservative treatment including
Figure 3 Case 2. (A) Preoperative simulation. Midline and contralateral side were marked up easily to identify at a glance from the monitor in the hybrid operating room. (B) Preoperative study showing L4–L5-disc degeneration and L4 slip. Stenosis and degenerative changes of arthrosis are also observed at that level. It is difficult to identify the interlaminar space. The navigation guide facilitated the exposure of the thick interlaminar space packed with hard and thick flavum. Complete decompression was achieved until the contralateral lateral recess. (C) The pointer shows the entry point for contralateral side by navigation and direct visualization.
physical therapy and epidural steroid injections, his arm pain aggravated. Treatment options were discussed with the patient, and he opted for the less invasive percutaneous endoscopic posterior foraminotomy. The patient was placed in the prone position, and the head was fixed with a three-point skull clamp in the hybrid OR. After positioning the patient, CBCT was performed; the CT data obtained in the current prone position was registered into the navigation system. The entry point was determined based on the appropriate trajectory alignment visualized on the monitor in the navigation system (Figure 4A). Along the projection image on 3D navigation, the skin incision was made, and the navigated obturator was inserted into the target point. The working channel was inserted through the obturator. We used a rigid endoscope with a working channel through which endoscopic instruments can be used under continuous endoscopic high definition visualization. We were able to confirm the anatomy using the navigated instrument through the working channel. By providing accurate orientation, the 3D navigation system helped identify the lamina, facet, and ligamentum flavum (Figure 4B). After cleaning off the tissue surrounding the foramen, the endoscopic drill was used to perform foraminotomy at the laminar facet junction at C6–C7. Finally, the radiofrequency probe was used to achieve hemostasis. The postoperative course was uneventful. The patient experienced alleviation of pain immediately after surgery.

Results

The present study cohort comprised 17 patients with lumbar spine disease and 6 patients with cervical spine disease (Table 1). None of the patients experienced any complications associated with real-time 3D navigation-assisted FESS. We found a remarkable concordance of the location of intraoperative landmarks between the endoscopic direct vision and the 3D navigation system. The real-time 3D navigation provided accurate guidance in all patients. The median procedure time was 112 minutes. The median scan time was 7 minutes. The median verification time was 4 minutes. All patients in the present series had satisfactory improvement after surgery.

Discussion

We presented a workflow for real-time 3D navigation-assisted FESS performed in the hybrid OR. The use of X-ray fluoroscopy for intraoperative orientation was not required.
in any of the patients in this series. The 3D navigation system showed high accuracy for trajectory alignment and intraoperative orientation and was useful for FESS.

Radiation exposure is always a major concern in spine surgery, which is attributable to fluoroscopy (7). However, the use of a navigation guided system entails minimal or no radiation exposure to the surgeons, as surgeons do not need to manipulate any instrument under radiation. Conversely, the use of real-time 3D navigation system is associated with increased radiation exposure to patients compared with that of the conventional procedure using X-ray fluoroscopy (7). However, the effective dose of radiation for the initial registration scan in navigation using CT scan for spine surgery have been reported to be only 3.37±0.93 mSv (range, 1.59–5.01 mSV) (8). From another perspective, the irradiation time during use of X-ray fluoroscopy in spine surgery is quite limited. Therefore, we cannot always use fluoroscopy during surgery. However, the use of navigation system provides real-time information about the position and projection during the entire surgery.

Endoscopic procedure is less invasive, but provides limited visual access to the operative field. Therefore, it is difficult to identify anatomically contiguous structures, especially for inexperienced surgeons. The 3D navigation providing real-time information about orientation helps surgeon in identifying surgical anatomy. Furthermore, the distance from the entry point to the target is also calculated, and the current position of the tip of the probe, needle, and the working cannula are confirmed on the navigation monitor next to the monitor of endoscope. The feasibility of using the 3D navigation system and the comfort level of the surgeon in manipulating instruments depends on the setting of monitors of the endoscope and the navigation system. Carl et al. (8) investigated the registration accuracy of navigation using intraoperative CT and automated patient registration. They reported a target registration error of 0.86±0.38 mm in overall surgery including cranium and 0.80±0.28 mm in spine surgery (8). Registration error of <1 mm for 3D navigation is considered acceptable.

As a limitation of our study, the reference for navigation was not placed in the iliac crest or spinous process in patients with thoracolumbar disease. Bony structure is believed to be better for the site of placement for reference of navigation from the view point of accuracy. But Intraoperative imaging-bases registration does not need patients or user interaction, so it can be automated. This offers the possibility of reduced registration errors. The hybrid operation room and the automatic registration system of the navigation system give us the real-time navigation image which is practically accurate and reliable and enables us to confirm the key anatomic structure from navigation and endoscopic view and perform full endoscopic surgery safely with these minimally invasive methods.

**Conclusions**

The aim of this technical note is to provide preliminary evidence of feasibility of endoscopic spine surgery assisted by neuronavigation system in hybrid operation room. Our experience is limited and needed to be expand to verify the feasibility and demonstrate the usefulness and contraindications of the procedure. However, these preliminary results in our opinion are encouraging.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Demographics</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average age (years)</td>
<td>59.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operation level, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C4–5</td>
<td>1 (4.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C5–6</td>
<td>3 (13.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C6–7</td>
<td>2 (8.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L2–3</td>
<td>2 (8.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L3–4</td>
<td>4 (17.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L4–5</td>
<td>8 (34.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L5–S1</td>
<td>3 (13.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical sign, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sensory deficient</td>
<td>19 (82.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motor deficient</td>
<td>21 (91.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Both</td>
<td>17 (73.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pain alone</td>
<td>2 (8.7)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
obtaining CT scan in the hybrid OR.
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