Enhanced recovery after surgery (ERAS) is a multidisciplinary concerted approach to optimizing postoperative recovery and reducing hospital length of stay. It was first conceived in Denmark for abdominal surgery (1,2). This initiative examined organ/system specific responses to surgical stress and factors compromising these pathophysiologic effects pre-operatively, intra-operatively, and post-operatively. Specific guidelines were developed to modify these stress responses for optimal homeostasis and thereby facilitate patient recovery and hospital discharge (2-5). These programs gained traction in the 1990s and have been widely implemented across the world in other surgical subspecialties (6-8). Although other musculoskeletal surgical disciplines, such as total joint arthroplasty, have generally adopted ERAS (9-12), spine surgery has been slow to embrace this methodology. Unlike total joint arthroplasty, where operations are stereotyped and standardized, the highly variable pathology and technical nature of spine surgeries can necessitate extensive operations requiring prolonged general anesthesia and thereby facilitate patient recovery and hospital discharge (2-5). These programs gained traction in the 1990s and have been widely implemented across the world in other surgical subspecialties (6-8). Although other musculoskeletal surgical disciplines, such as total joint arthroplasty, have generally adopted ERAS (9-12), spine surgery has been slow to embrace this methodology. Unlike total joint arthroplasty, where operations are stereotyped and standardized, the highly variable pathology and technical nature of spine surgeries can necessitate extensive operations requiring prolonged general anesthesia and extensive para-spinal muscle dissection and osteotomies with high blood loss. Consequently, this dramatic multi-organ system pathophysiologic insult to the patient can potentially overpower the smaller cumulative benefits of ERAS. Perhaps this is the primary reason for its slow acceptance in the field of spine surgery.

The core tenets of ERAS are (I) a focus on the patient's journey through surgery; (II) a multidisciplinary and multimodal approach; (III) development, implementation, and refinement of novel techniques and technologies designed to reduce pain, morbidity, and recovery time; and (IV) data-driven iterative improvement processes (3-5,13). The first step to develop version 1.0 (Table 1) of the University of Miami ERAS protocol was entirely dedicated to improving the standard transforninal technique for lumbar interbody fusion (TLIF) (5). Although minimally invasive (MIS) techniques have been popularized in degenerative lumbar disease, including the utilization of the mini-open paramedian Wiltse (14) intermuscular corridor as well as tubular muscle splitting retractors, these MIS techniques still require open incisions and muscle dissection (15-17). By leveraging a percutaneous spinal access system (Spineology) with an expandable interbody cage (Optimesh) and a percutaneous endoscopic visualization platform (Joimax), a novel technique for MIS TLIF was developed and will henceforth be referred to as the ERAS TLIF. Endoscopic visualization and decompression of traversing and exiting nerve roots can be achieved through an 8 mm outer diameter working channel. Discectomy and insertion of a 22 or 25 mm expandable mesh interbody cage can also be performed through the same access corridor. Arthrodesis is augmented by using 2.1 mg rhBMP-2 (Infuse, Medtronic) within the disc space to enhance fusion through such a narrow corridor. A percutaneous small caliber MIS pedicle screw system (Depuy Synthes Viper 2 or Viper Prime) is used for intersegmental fixation. Compared to traditional MIS TLIF, this “ultra-MIS” (18) technique is capable of accomplishing the same surgical goals of direct and indirect decompression, reduction of spondylolisthesis, and achievement of interbody fusion, but offers the advantage of minimizing soft tissue collateral trauma. This key advantage allows the synergistic use of liposomal bupivacaine (20 mL...
total diluted 1:2 with plain bupivacaine 0.25% for 40 mL
total, distributed evenly between all pedicle screw tracts)
(Exparel, Pacira Pharmaceuticals) along the percutaneous
access tracts to promote lasting non-opioid local analgesia
for up to 72 hours. In combination, these techniques enable
us to perform a highly effective and versatile TLIF with the
patient comfortably under conscious sedation using propofol
and ketamine infusion (18). In alignment with ERAS core
concepts, this effectively eliminates the cardiopulmonary,
gastrointestinal, endocrine, and electrolyte disturbances that commonly occur with general anesthesia,
during both the intra- and post-operative periods. Keeping the patient awake
during surgery also allows intraoperative neuromonitoring
with direct patient feedback. This can reduce the risk
of injuring exiting nerve root dorsal ganglion. To date,
our published case series of 100 initial patients using this
technique demonstrates significant improvement of patient
reported clinical outcome scores at 1 year follow up with no
intraoperative complications, average blood loss of 65 and
75 mL, and average operative times of 85 and 128 minutes
for one and two level fusions, respectively, with an overall
average length of stay of 1.4 days (19).

The successful ERAS TLIF became an important
treatment option for our ERAS 2.0 protocol (Table 2),
which is currently employed only for 1 to 3 level posterior
lumbar fusions, both open and MIS. This second phase
encompasses several nonsurgical ERAS components. These
include pre-operative counseling by ERAS team members
with an educational brochure and video about the concept
and purpose of ERAS along with expectation management.
More specifically, patients are advised on pre-operative
nutritional optimization with increased protein and calorie
intake and medical optimization of existing conditions
(such as diabetes and hypertension) to weather the post-
operative catabolic and insulin-resistant pathophysiologic
state (1). Post-operative discharge destinations are explored,
and inpatient rehab facilities are preselected. Endocrine
and gastrointestinal optimization the day before surgery
include a carbohydrate load as well as clear liquid diet or
gentle bowel prep for insulin sensitization and avoidance
of post-operative constipation and ileus, respectively. Our
expectation of early post-operative bracing and mobilization
with therapy services is communicated to the patient.

Peri-operative multimodal non-opioid analgesia is
optimized with a 600 mg oral gabapentin load given in
the pre-operative unit and an intravenous (IV) infusion of
acetaminophen 1 g given immediately post-operatively. The
combination of pre-operative health optimization and peri-

Table 1 ERAS protocol version 1.0

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Intervention</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Use of propofol and ketamine infusions for conscious sedation</td>
<td>Minimize cardiopulmonary, gastrointestinal, endocrine, and electrolyte disturbances that commonly occur with general anesthesia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use of an 8 mm outer diameter working channel</td>
<td>This channel allows for endoscopic visualization and decompression of nerve roots. Also allows for discectomy and insertion of an expandable interbody cage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use of 2.1 mg rhBMP-2</td>
<td>Enhances fusion in narrow corridor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use of a percutaneous small caliber MIS pedicle screw system (Depuy Viper)</td>
<td>Minimizes soft tissue collateral trauma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intraoperative injection of liposomal bupivacaine along percutaneous access tracts</td>
<td>Non-opioid local analgesia for up to 72 hours</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expandable interbody cage (Spineology Optimesh, FDA off label application)</td>
<td>Implantable through 8 mm working channel and able to restore intervertebral and foraminal height and reduce spondylolisthesis</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Together, these interventions make up the “ERAS TLIF” that will be mentioned in future versions. Use of rhBMP and Liposomal Bupivacaine should have (FDA off label application). ERAS, enhanced recovery after surgery; TLIF, technique for lumbar interbody fusion; MIS, minimally invasive.
Table 2 ERAS protocol version 2.0

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Intervention</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ERAS TLIF</td>
<td>Accomplish the same goal as traditional MIS TLIF but minimizes the extent of soft tissue collateral trauma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Physiologic preparation for post-operative catabolic and insulin-resistant pathophysiologic state</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Alleviate anxiety and temper the psychological stress response</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Promote insulin sensitivity, reduce incidence of post-operative nausea, vomiting, constipation, and ileus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>This technique reduces the need for post-operative opioids, thus promoting faster recovery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rounding by an ERAS team member helps to facilitate compliance, coordinate early mobilization, assess adequacy of analgesia, and assist with acquisition of braces and walkers</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ERAS, enhanced recovery after surgery; TLIF, technique for lumbar interbody fusion; IV, intravenous; MIS, minimally invasive.

Operative multimodal analgesia has augmented the ability to utilize the endoscopic TLIF under conscious sedation via reductions in pathophysiologic disturbances typically encountered using general anesthesia. Thus, the surgeon is provided the enhanced direct patient feedback of pain generators intra-operatively without associated anesthetic concerns.

Post-operative ERAS rounds are carried out daily by team members to facilitate program compliance, help coordinate early mobilization, assess adequacy of analgesia, assist with acquisition of braces and walkers, and ensure timely hospital discharge (Figure 1).

Routine internal audits of quality derangements and data driven iterative refinement are critical to success of any ERAS protocol (Table 3). Four cases of the first 100 ERAS TLIF cases converted to general anesthesia. Of these four conversion cases, two were due to emesis, one was due to epistaxis, and the other was due to extreme anxiety. Given the aspiration risk secondary to emesis and epistaxis in the prone, semi-conscious, non-intubated patient, ondansetron, glycopyrrolate, and oxymetazoline nasal spray were added to the pre-operative anesthesia portion of the protocol. No further conversions due to emesis or epistaxis have since been noted. Intraoperative and post-operative pain control was inconsistent early on in the series. This was found to be secondary to injection of the liposomal bupivacaine into the soft tissue tract after instrumentation, and the solution does not diffuse readily without pressure. Once we began injecting the solution prior to creation of soft tissue tract and instrumentation, better delivery of anesthetic was achieved and post-operative analgesia was greatly improved. Two patients developed early infections in the interbody device within 2 months. A problem with central sterile processing of endoscopic equipment was audited and corrected. Vancomycin 1 g was also added to the endoscopic irrigation solution. Following these changes, no further infections have been noted. Two cases of early cage migration prompted more thorough end plate preparation evaluation with a radiopaque contrasted balloon expanded within the disc space under anteroposterior fluoroscopic visualization. If inadequate endplate preparation was discovered, further endoscopic discectomy was completed until bleeding endplate was directly visualized and properly prepared. No further cage migrations have been noted since the protocol was modified. Dissolution of the interbody cage was also noted early on, and in response the rhBMP...
Table 3 Iterative improvements during the ERAS implementation process

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Intervention</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Addition of ondansetron, glycopyrrolate, and oxymetazoline nasal spray to</td>
<td>Prevent intraoperative emesis and epistaxis that would force conversion to general anesthesia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>the pre-operative anesthesia order</td>
<td>Administer the local anesthetic before creation of the soft tissue tract maintains a pressure gradient</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Changing the approach for liposomal bupivacaine administration, such that</td>
<td>and thus allows more efficient diffusion and delivery. Post-operative analgesia greatly improved</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>injection occurs prior to creation of the soft tissue tract rather than</td>
<td>This change helped to resolve infection within the interbody device</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>into the previously accessed tract</td>
<td>This technique helped improve discectomy and end plate preparation to reduce risk of cage migration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Addition of 1 g vancomycin to the endoscopic irrigation solution and</td>
<td>Moving the sponge anterior to the cage rather than within the cage may prevent dissolution of the cage.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>improvement of central sterile processing of endoscopic equipment</td>
<td>This approach is still under investigation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use of a radiopaque contrast balloon to inspect end plate preparation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Changing the placement of the rhBMP sponge</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ERAS, enhanced recovery after surgery; TLIF, technique for lumbar interbody fusion.

Figure 1 Post-operative ERAS ward round assessment protocol. ERAS, enhanced recovery after surgery.

sponge has since been implanted anterior to the cage rather than within the cage; the efficacy of this is still under investigation (5,19).

Implementation of our ERAS protocol into the practice of other spine surgeons within the department for 1 to 3 total level posterior lumbar fusions has shown statistically significant superiority in all metrics. Most notably, ERAS patients demonstrated decreases in-hospital length of stay, opioid consumption during the first 3 days after surgery, and an increase in distance ambulated with therapy services on all post-operative days when compared to pre-ERAS case matched controls (13). Given that the other participating
surgeons do not perform the ERAS TLIF, this supports nonsurgical ERAS components as important contributors to enhanced recovery.

Based on favorable outcome measures of ERAS in decreased intraoperative surgical time, in-hospital morbidity, and hospital length of stay, cost comparison analysis between the ERAS TLIF and more traditional MIS TLIF was of obvious interest. Those patients in the MIS TLIF comparator cohort were also our senior author’s patients, and that procedure was performed using a more traditional unilateral opening and facetectomy. The same interbody cage and instrumentation are used, but the procedure was performed under general anesthesia, without liposomal bupivacaine, and without endoscopic decompression. Thirty-eight consecutive ERAS TLIF patients were compared to 15 medical comorbidity and body mass index (BMI) matched case controls. While both groups experienced equally excellent clinical outcomes by Oracle data integrator (ODI) change, the ERAS group incurred on average 68 vs. 231 mL of blood loss, length of stay 1.23 vs. 3.9 days, and a total cost savings of $3,444 (15.2%) per case ($4,330 when accounting for all readmissions and revisions between the two groups). Forty-four percent of this cost savings came from decreased operative suite time utilization. Thirty-two percent of overall savings represented decreased utilization of acute medical/intensive care unit (ICU) services for cardiopulmonary and other medical complications. The remaining 22% of the savings were achieved with shorter hospital stay (20).

Despite slow adoption in spine surgery, we have demonstrated the clinical and economic value of ERAS implementation within the field. Through the use of multimodal non-opioid perioperative medications, long acting local anesthetic, and intraoperative conscious sedation, we have validated the feasibility of performing a versatile lumbar fusion procedure in an “ultra-MIS” fashion without compromising the surgical goal and obviating the need for general anesthesia and its attendant risks. Preoperative psychological and physiological optimization and post-operative standardization of medications and therapy programs amplifies its benefits. With the ERAS program’s clinical outcome driven internal audit and continual iterative improvements, we are able to offer the patients the most optimal experience throughout their spine surgery journey.

ERAS in spine surgery has a demonstrable role in improving patient outcomes. Enhancing a patient’s recovery also concomitantly reduces resource expenditure, and in our evolving healthcare environment, presents a positive secondary effect and potential driver for more widespread adoption. Although our program has only been utilized in the inpatient setting, this protocol and its components can easily be translated to the outpatient setting. Further studies on ERAS implementation within inpatient and outpatient spine programs in the country are needed to support our findings and drive cost-effective innovation in perioperative care.
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