Introduction

The rate of incidental durotomies varies around 1–17% of all lumbar spinal surgeries (1-4), representing one of the most common complications of lumbar spine surgery (5,6). In such a case, the advantage of a primary watertight dural repair is undisputed (3,5,7,8). Previous studies have examined which suturing technique leads to the highest hydrostatic strength for dural closure (9-12). Yet it is not always possible to close the dura completely watertight, for example due to localization and morphology of the tear or a mismatch of the diameter of suture relative to the...
needle (9). Narotam et al. also showed that a primary watertight suture has a failure rate of 5% to 10% (13) leading to pseudomeningocele, cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) fistulas, wound infections or intracranial hypotension syndrome. Revision surgeries are often inevitable in such cases.

If the CSF leaks out of the skin, the risk of a persisting CSF fistula and therefore infection exists (14). Thus the most watertight closure technique of lumbar wounds (fascia, subcutis and skin) is desired to reduce the development of CSF fistula after incidental durotomy.

To the best of our knowledge, the watertightness of different suturing techniques to close the fascia, subcutis and skin of the lumbar region has not yet been investigated experimentally. Therefore, the purpose of this cadaveric study was to find the most watertight closure technique for fascia, subcutis and skin of the lumbar spine.

Methods

Specimen preparation

Ovine fascia, subcutis and skin of 6 different sheep were used in this study to prepare fresh pieces of 15 cm × 10 cm. A 6 cm incision was performed midline through all the layers, representing a possible incision length for lumbar multi-level decompression. The following suturing techniques were applied by one senior staff member. For the skin: intracutaneous suture (Monocryl 3-0, Figure 1A), Donati-continuous suture (Ethilon 2-0, Figure 1B), single knot suture (Ethilon 2-0, Figure 1C) and locking stitch suture (Ethilon 2-0, Figure 1D). For the subcutis: continuous suture (Figure 1E) and single knot suture (Figure 1C) (both with Vicryl 3-0). And for the fascia: single knot suture (Figure 1C), cross stitches (Figure 1F), continuous suture (Figure 1E) and locking stitch suture (Figure 1D) (all with Vicryl 1). To standardize the distances of needle penetration, a plastic template was used to draw the entry points. Each suturing technique was performed 5 times per level (fascia, subcutis and skin).

Experimental setting (Figure 2)

A calibrated, digital precision-reference-manometer (SIKA Dr. Soebert & Kühn GmbH & Co. KG, Kaufungen, Germany) with a measuring range of −1 to 3 bar and a resolution of 1 mbar, mounted on a custom made aluminium pressure chamber was used for recording the hydrostatic pressure continuously.

To feed a constant flow of phosphate buffered saline (PBS) solution into the flexible tubes connected system, neMESYS dosing Systems were used (Cetoni GmbH,
Korbussen, Germany) consistent of basemodule 120 and two low pressure modules (14:1) controlled by neMESYS Userinterface V.2016.6.14.1 software. Each low-pressure module was equipped with a 30 mL syringe and the whole system was loaded with PBS solution. For better visualization, food coloring was added to the PBS solution. Hereby it was carefully observed, that no air bubbles were introduced within the system and that the chamber was filled to the rim. To minimize the influence of a hydraulic gradient between syringes and chamber, the whole setup was horizontally aligned on the same plane.

After mounting the specimen on the pressure chamber tightly, a constant flow of 1 mL/s was applied into the pressure chamber. Slow-motion videos were used to determine the exact pressure values. Leakage was defined at a maximum pressure value when the first drop of PBS solution was observed. For ten specimens (series with single knot and locking stitch of the skin), the leakage was oversewed with a cross-stitch and the experiments were repeated to investigate the effect of over-sewing.

**Statistical analysis**

Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS (IBM Corp. IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, Version 23.0. Armonk, NY: IBM Corp.). Statistical significance of the effect of suture technique on leakage pressure within the respective subgroup (type of tissue) was investigated using Kruskal-Wallis/Mann-Whitney U tests. For post-hoc analysis, in groups showing a statistically significant effect, the suture technique with the highest median leakage pressure was compared to all other suture techniques. P values were adjusted using Bonferroni correction. Significance was set at $\alpha = 0.05$.

To test for a significant effect of over-sewing on leakage pressure for the subgroup skin, Wilcoxon signed-rank tests were used for the two applied suture techniques.

**Results**

**Skin closure**

For skin closure no significant differences were found between the investigated suturing techniques (P=0.075). However, the Donati-continuous stitch closure resulted in higher pressures in tendency.

Over-sewing of the skin significantly increased the median leakage pressure from 4.0 to 13.0 mbar (P=0.042) in the locking stitch group.
For closure of the subcutis, continuous suturing (median = 9 mbar) resulted in a significantly higher leakage pressure than single knot suturing (median = 1 mbar) (P=0.017).

**Subcutis closure**

For closure of the subcutis, continuous suturing [median =9 mbar (3; 14)] resulted in a significantly higher leakage pressure than single knot suturing [median =1 mbar (1; 6)] (U=2,000, P=0.017, r=0.60) (Figure 5).

**Fascia closure**

There was a statistically significant effect of suture technique on leakage pressure for the fascia closure with cross-stitches [H(3) =13.294, P=0.004] (Figure 6).

Post-hoc comparison revealed cross stitch [median = 180 mbar (43; 660)] to be significantly superior to continuous [median =16 mbar (6; 52)] (U=1.0, P=0.003, r=0.76) but not to single knot [median =118 mbar (21; 387)] (U=14.0, P=1.0, r=0.05) and locking stitch suturing [median =109 mbar (3; 149)] (U=7.0, P=0.93, r=0.36).

**All layers**

The spot of leakage occurred in 6 out of 58 sutures at the site of the needle holes. For the other 52 the spot of leakage was in the region of incision.

**Discussion**

Since primary watertight dural suture after incidental durotomy has a failure rate of 5% to 10% (13), a watertight closure technique of the overlying layers (fascia, subcutis and skin) is essential to reduce the risk of development of CSF fistula, which result in revision surgery (5). Although suturing techniques for a watertight dural repair were compared, such comparison for the overlying layers were lacking up to this series of experiments.

In this study we found that cross stitches for the fascia, continuous suturing technique for the subcutis and Donati-continuous stitch for the skin resulted in the most watertight wound closure combination.

For the fascial closure, statistical significance could be found only in comparing cross stitches with continuous suturing but not with single knot or locking stitch suturing. Nevertheless, a strong tendency was found favoring cross stitches with a median leakage pressure of 180 mbar compared to 118 and 109 mbar in single knot and locking stitches respectively. The lacking statistical significance is most probably explained by the fact that reproducibility of the measured leakage pressures was difficult to achieve due to carcass characteristics which in turn resulted in relatively wide standard deviations. Further investigations should therefore include a greater sample sizes but also human specimens for better external validity. The complexity of our study set-up and the limited supply of cadavers only allowed a relatively small sample size. However, our results implicate that in cases of intraoperative CSF leakage cross stitches should be favored for fascial closure.

Moreover, we observed that the fascial layer provides with a median of 180 mbar leakage pressure the strongest
water barrier compared to the subcutis and cutis with 9 mbar and 20 mbar respectively. This is an important information in our view and the clinical consequence is that beside the dural closure, particularly the fascial layer seems mostly important for achieving watertightness.

For the subcutis, continuous sutures showed significantly better leakage pressure than single knot suturing. No statistical significances were found comparing the here investigated skin suture techniques and we are therefore not able to make strong recommendations for such, although some tendency favoring Donati-continuous stitches can be mentioned. This might be caused due to the characteristics of the Donati- technique which includes a superficial and deep sutured layer compared with the other suture types (intracutaneous, single knot and locking stitches) which only include one layer, respectively.

There is little data about the expected postoperative CSF pressure in case of a lumbar CSF leakage. It is known from lumbar punctures in a sitting position, that CSF opening pressures are around 34.6 cmH₂O, which corresponds to about 34 mbar (15). Expected peak pressures while coughing extent from 80 to 125 mmHg, which correspond to about 107 to 167 mbar (16,17). This means that even in a case of an absent dural layer the fascial closure might provide enough watertightness to resist physiological CSF pressure. Nevertheless, our data are based on ovine specimens and should be confirmed with human specimens or even better with in vivo experiments.

In cases of insufficient dural closure but sufficient epidural wound closure a pseudomeningocele might develop. The exact incidence of a pseudomeningocele is difficult to estimate, but assumed to be around 0.07–2% for lumbar laminectomies and discectomies (5,18). Most of these pseudomeningoceles appear to be asymptomatic (19–23). Local swelling might be the sole indicator of a pseudomeningocele. The management remains controversial; While some authors recommend surgical revision (19,22,23), others only recommended a surgical repair in case of a large symptomatic pseudomeningocele, progressive neurological symptoms, fistula, infection or intracranial hypotension (20). However, small pseudomeningoceles (<5 cm) usually resorb spontaneously within 3 months to 3 years (19–21). Therefore, they can often be treated non-operatively.

A further finding in our experiment was that once a cutaneous leakage occurred, reinforcement of the suture by over-sewing the spot of leakage with a cross stitch led up to a three times higher leakage pressure (Figure 4). This is concordant with other results from the literature. Tosun et al. stated, that over-sewing the wound with a gauze pad for 5 days after non-recognized intraoperative dural tears with CSF fistula, represents a reasonable therapy option in patients without neurological impairment (5). Waisman and Schweppe treated eight patients with postoperative CSF leakage conservatively, by reinforcing the skin suture, bed rest in the Trendelenburg position, antibiotic coverage, and repeated drainage of the subcutaneous CSF collection. None of the patient needed a surgical revision for persisting CSF leakage (24). In our own clinical experience, bedside over-sewing of the spot of leakage can be a valuable approach to reduce the rate of revision surgeries in the case of CSF leakage directly during the first postoperative days. However, even if over-sewing relevantly improves the watertightness of the wound experimentally, further research is needed in vivo to verify if it can also reduce rates of surgical revisions.

Further limitations need to be considered when interpreting the reported findings. The herein reported results are based on the biomechanical analysis of fresh ovine cadaver samples. Although we believe that this limitation does not reduce the validity of our assumptions, human tissue studies are needed to verify the results. The contribution of some factors, such as for example the use of absorbable suture material rather than non-absorbable material, can only be evaluated in living tissue. Furthermore, the complexity of the study set-up and the limited supply of cadavers only allowed a relatively small sample size.

Reproducibility of the measured leakage pressures was difficult to achieve due to carcass characteristics and may explain the relatively wide standard deviations. Only the immediate hydrostatic strength of the suture was measured, long-term leakage properties were not assessed in this model.

Conclusions

With respect to mentioned limitations, we conclude that cross stitches for the fascia, continuous suturing technique for the subcutis closure and preferentially Donati-continuous stitch closure for the skin result in the most watertight closure within this experimental setting. The most important epidural barrier against CSF leakage is the fascial closure. Special attention should be given to close this layer properly. Further, in the case of CSF leakage directly during the first postoperative days bedside over-
sewing of the spot of leakage might be valuable approach. However, the proposed wound closure technique is only an addition to a watertight dural closure after incidental durotomies.
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