A less invasive surgery using a full-endoscopic system for L5 nerve root compression caused by lumbar foraminal stenosis
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Background: Percutaneous endoscopic lumbar discectomy (PELD) is a relatively less invasive treatment for lumbar disc herniation (LDH). This study investigated the usefulness of a full-endoscopic system for PELD to treat L5 nerve root compression caused by lumbar foraminal stenosis (L5-LFS).

Methods: Between November 2016 and December 2017, a total of 10 patients with unilateral leg pain due to L5-LFS underwent surgery using a full-endoscopic system for PELD. Patients with bilateral L5-LFS or L5-LFS with coexisting LDH and/or spondylolysis were excluded from this study. A percutaneous endoscopic translaminar approach (PETA) was performed via the ipsilateral vertebral isthmus using a 3.5-mm diameter high-speed drill. Preoperative and postoperative statuses were evaluated using the modified Japanese Orthopedic Association (mJOA) and Numerical Rating Scale (NRS) scores.

Results: The patients’ mean age was 62.2 years; there were 7 male and 3 female patients. The mean recovery rate was 58.2% with the mJOA score; mean pre- and postoperative NRS scores were 7.4 and 2.3, respectively. The mean operative time was 77.6 min. Although there were no major complications, pain did not improve in an 80-year-old woman with coexisting spondylolisthesis (Meyerding grade 2).

Conclusions: PETA using a full-endoscopic system is a safe and effective minimally invasive treatment for L5-LFS, with potential to be an alternative surgical strategy for L5-S1 interbody fusion.
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Introduction

L5 nerve root compression occurs at several different intraspinal and extraspinal canal regions. The most frequently affected area is the intracanal region at the L4/5 lumbar disc level. In this region, there are several different pathologies, including lumbar disc herniation (LDH) and lateral recesses stenosis (1). L5 nerve root compression in the extracanal region—the so-called far-out syndrome—also has several different pathologies, including LDH, osteophytes, and entrapment by ligaments (2). Between these intraspinal and extraspinal canal regions, other L5 nerve root compression can be caused by lumbar foraminal stenosis (LFS) (3).

The lumbar foramen is the longest bony canal at the L5/S1 level (4). Therefore, L5 nerve root compression
caused by LFS (L₅-LFS) occurs more easily than other lumbar nerve root compressions. Moreover, the dorsal root ganglion (DRG) is susceptible to damage by the compression (5); the mean width and length of DRGs gradually increases from L1 to L5 (6). Therefore, L₅-LFS is frequently observed as symptomatic LFS in the clinic. Because L₅-LFS is associated with orthoarthritis, decreased intervertebral height after degeneration of the lumbar disc, and degeneration of surrounding ligaments (7), its incidence is gradually increasing as the population ages. Clinicians should expect to treat more cases of L₅-LFS in the near future.

L₅-LFS has been surgically treated with fusion surgery, such as posterior lumbar interbody fusion (PLIF) or transforaminal lumbar interbody fusion (TLIF) (7-9). Recent advancements in minimally invasive lumbar interbody fusion techniques have decreased the invasiveness of these surgical procedures, although they are still invasive compared with a decompressive technique using a full-endoscopic system for percutaneous endoscopic lumbar discectomy (PELD). Based on our experience using full-endoscopic systems, we hypothesized that L₅-LFS would be a suitable indication for a percutaneous endoscopic system for percutaneous endoscopic lumbar fusion (PELF). Based on our experience using full-endoscopic systems, we hypothesized that L₅-LFS would be a suitable indication for a percutaneous endoscopic system for percutaneous endoscopic lumbar fusion (PELF).

In this retrospective analysis, we summarize our experience, identify contraindications for PETA, and present the operative outcomes of PETA for the treatment of L₅-LFS.

**Methods**

Between November 2016 and December 2017, 10 consecutive patients with L₅-LFS underwent PETA with a 7-mm diameter spinal full-endoscopic system (Richard Wolf GmbH, Knittlingen, Germany). All patients had radiculopathy that was resistant to medical treatment, epidural steroid injection, and/or nerve block. To clarify the surgical benefits of PETA, we excluded patients with bilateral L₅-LFS or L₅-LFS with coexisting LDH and/or spondylolysis.

For all patients, PETA was conducted at only one vertebral level by a single surgeon (Hisashi Koga). Neurological examination, electrophysiological examination, preoperative magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), and computed tomography (CT) were used to diagnose L₅-LFS. T2-weighted MRI (Table 1, Figure 1) was graded according to Lee’s classification (11). The presence of osteophytes protruding to the foramen and decreasing foraminal length/width were evaluated on sagittal CT scan.

Table 1: Summary of the detailed features of the 10 cases of L₅-LFS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case No.</th>
<th>Age (years)</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Affected side</th>
<th>Symptom duration (months)</th>
<th>Walking disturbance</th>
<th>Motor function** (MMT)</th>
<th>MRI***, grades for LFS</th>
<th>CT****, foraminal length (mm)</th>
<th>Foraminal width (mm)</th>
<th>SNAP</th>
<th>Amplitude of affected side (mV)</th>
<th>Amplitude of unaffected side (mV)</th>
<th>Ratio of affected/unaffected</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Slight</td>
<td>(-)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>(-)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Marked</td>
<td>TA5/4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>(-)</td>
<td>(-)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Slight</td>
<td>(-)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>Marked</td>
<td>TA5/2; EHL5/2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>(-)</td>
<td>(-)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>Marked</td>
<td>(-)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Slight</td>
<td>(-)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>(-)</td>
<td>(-)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*, Walking disturbance—slight, able to walk without assistance, but the walking speed and the distance were limited; marked, unable to walk without assistance; **—TA, tibialis anterior muscle; EHL, extensor hallucis longus muscle; ***, the MRI grading is according to Lee’s classification (11); ****, the measurement method is described in the authors’ previous manuscript (12). MRI, magnetic resonance imaging; CT, computed tomography; N/A, not applicable; SNAP, sensory nerve action potential.
The laterality of preoperative sensory nerve action potential (SNAP) derived from the peroneal nerve was also used for preoperative evaluation (Table 1) (12). Patients were followed for an average of 13.2 months (range, 6–19 months) postoperatively. Preoperative and postoperative neurological statuses were evaluated using the modified Japanese Orthopaedic Association (mJOA) score. The recovery rate was determined as follows: recovery rate = postoperative mJOA – preoperative mJOA/23 (full score) – preoperative mJOA score ×100. Corresponding leg pain was also evaluated using the Numerical Rating Scale (NRS) score.

Surgical technique

Patients were carefully log-rolled into the prone position. The surgery was performed with the patient under general anesthesia and simultaneous motor evoked potential monitoring. During the operation, a fluoroscope was placed across the center of the operating table to ensure appropriate positioning.

An 8-mm skin and fascia incision was made 15–20 mm lateral from the midline at the medial border of the L5 pedicle under fluoroscopic guidance (anteroposterior view), after which an obturator was inserted onto the dorsal surface of the L5 vertebral laminae (Figure 2). Following the insertion of an obturator, a 30°-angled working sheath with a diameter of 7 mm was inserted (Figure 2B). Then, an endoscope (diameter of working channel: 4.1 mm) was inserted. The surface of the vertebral laminae was exposed by forceps and a bipolar radiofrequency electrode system was used (Ellience, Baldwin, NY, USA). The keyhole entrance is small enough to prevent iatrogenic spondylolysis caused by the destruction of pars interarticularis; however, the area of bone removal is enlarged in the deep part of the hole.

The vertebral laminae were thinned by using a high-speed drill with a diameter of 3.5 mm (NSK-Nakanishi Japan, Tokyo, Japan) (Figure 2C). Subsequently, the residual thin layer was removed with a small Kerrison rongeur (Figure 2D,E). After removal of the dorso-caudal part of the foramen, the lateral part of the yellow ligament and the medial part of the superior articular process (SAP) appear (Figure 3). Further removal of the SAP is required for release of the outlet of the foramen. After completion of the release, the probe can be easily inserted into the extraforaminal region (Figure 2F).
Figure 2 Fluoroscopic images during the operative procedure (lateral view: right side is cranial, left side is caudal). Following insertion of an obturator (A), a working sheath (B) and an endoscope were inserted (C). The vertebral laminae were thinned using a high-speed drill (C). Subsequently, the residual thin layer was removed with a small Kerrison rongeur (D, E). After completion of the release, the probe was easily inserted into the extraforaminal region (F). Blue and yellow dotted lines indicate terminal plates of the L5/S1 vertebral body and the predicted outline of the original foramen, respectively (A).

Although the extent of removal of the floating yellow ligament detached from surrounding bone structures was different in each case, we confirmed the decompression by improvements in the intraoperative motor evoked potential monitoring. We also confirmed appropriate bone removal on postoperative CT scan. After decompression, the working sheath was carefully removed and the skin was closed with a single suture.

Results

Ten patients were registered for this study; seven patients were male and three were female. The mean patient age was 62.2 years (range, 47–80 years). Because a definitive determination of LFS is relatively difficult, we diagnosed LFS based on a comprehensive analysis of MRI, CT, and electrophysiological analyses (Table 1). We only performed PETA for unilateral L5-LFS without LDH and/or spondylolysis.

The mean operative time was 77.6 (range, 51–110) min, and the mean blood loss was negligible in all patients (Table 2). We observed no complications in this case series. The mean postoperative hospital stay was 2.6 days (range, 1–5 days); it was particularly for patient 8 because of a lack
of improvement in her leg pain (Table 2). The mean mJOA score improved significantly from 8.2±6.01 to 16.3±5.44; the recovery rate of this score was 58.2±30.1%. The mean NRS scores also improved significantly from 7.4±1.43 to 2.3±2.19 (Table 2).

During the follow-up period (range, 6–19 months; average, 13.2 months), leg pain stemming from L5-LFS improved in nine cases. A representative case (patient 6) is shown in Figure 2. This 47-year-old man presented with lower back pain and left leg pain (L5 dermatomes) that started 2 years before visiting our outpatient clinic. Neurological examination revealed a negative straight leg raise on both sides but severe muscle weakness (tibialis anterior: 5/2, extensor hallucis longus: 5/2). Lumbar MRI revealed grade 2 LFS, which is moderate LFS with perineural fat obliteration in the four directions without morphologic changes to the nerve root (11), at the L5/S1 disc level (Figure 1A,B). CT scan demonstrated decreasing foraminal length and width due to degeneration of the lumbar disc and hypertrophy of the SAP (Figure 1C,D, Table 1). Immediately after the operation, the patient’s leg pain improved. At 10 months after surgery, the patient’s severe muscle weakness was also improved (tibialis anterior: 5/4, extensor hallucis longus: 5/3), allowing him to jog and participate in martial arts. Postoperative MRI and CT revealed the appearance of fat tissue around the corresponding DRG and enlargement of the foramen, respectively (Figure 1E,F,G,H).

Leg pain persisted postoperatively in only case 8, the oldest patient. This 80-year-old female also had spondylolisthesis (Meyerding grade 2) and degenerative

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case No.</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Operation time (minutes)</th>
<th>Postoperative hospital stay (days)</th>
<th>Follow-up period (months)</th>
<th>mJOA score Preoperative</th>
<th>mJOA score Postoperative</th>
<th>NRS score Preoperative</th>
<th>NRS score Postoperative</th>
<th>Recovery rate (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>92.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>86.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>85.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>54.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>77.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>77.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>77.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>28.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>91.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>91.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
scoliosis (Cobb’s angle: 25) (Figure 4). Although postoperative CT revealed sufficient removal of the dorsal part of the foramen, the appearance of fat tissue around the DRG was not observed on MRI. This patient had a large osteophyte on the ventral side of the lumbar foramen (Figure 4G, red arrow). Such an osteophyte was not observed in any other case.

Discussion

LFS is a pathological condition in which degenerative changes of the vertebral foraminal component cause entrapment of nerve roots (7). Chronic compression of the DRG, which is located at the vertebral foramen and contains pain receptors, is the reason for marked pain; the condition is intractable (15). Fusion surgery, such as PLIF or TLIF, was the previous standard treatment for this entrapment (7-9). Foraminal decompression without lumbar interbody fusion is a potential strategy for a minimally invasive treatment alternative. We therefore analyzed the results of L5-LFS treated by PELD via the PETA and investigated the appropriate operative indications for PETA to prevent persistent pain.

In this article, we summarized 10 cases of L5-LFS treated by PELD via the PETA. The mean recovery rate based on the mJOA score was 58.2%; the mean preoperative and postoperative NRS scores were 7.4 and 2.3, respectively. Although the follow-up period (range, 6–19 months; average, 13.2 months) was not long, the operative outcomes were not inferior compared to previous outcomes of fusion surgery for LFS (16,17). Sclafani et al. analyzed the data for degenerative lumbar diseases (of which 14% were LFS) treated by TLIF and reported that mean preoperative and postoperative visual analog scale (VAS) scores were 5.4 and 1.7 (follow-up period: 1 year) (16). Kim et al. treated LFS by PLIF and reported that mean preoperative and postoperative VAS scores were 8.1 and 3.4 (follow-up period: >2 years) (17). NRS and VAS are similar scores for leg pain.

Recently, several investigators have attempted to treat LFS using minimally invasive strategy. For example, Kim et al. applied transfemoral balloon adhesiolysis to LFS and reported favorable outcomes (18,19). Although successful responses were reported for approximately 20% of enrolled patients with LFS (n=38) (19), indications still need to be carefully defined. Especially for elderly patients, the
pathogenesis of LFS includes degenerative changes, such as hypertrophy of the SAP and decreased disc height; thus, at least partial decompression of the foraminal components is required.

With regard to its invasiveness, full-endoscopic spinal surgery is situated between fusion surgery and the previously mentioned catheterized treatment. Because full-endoscopic spinal surgery has the potential to achieve adequate decompression without fusion, several endoscopic spinal surgeons are also trying to treat LFS. Anthony T. Yeung, who is one of the pioneers of full-endoscopic spinal surgery, has been tackling the treatment of LFS from the early stages of development (20). McGrath et al. also treated LFS secondary to fusion surgery and reported satisfactory outcomes (21). Ahn et al. reported excellent operative outcome of LFS treated via transforaminal approach (22). However, even when using a full-endoscopic system for the treatment of L5-LFS, the operative route should be carefully considered. We approach the target foramen from inside to outside (10), but most of the studies is approaching from outside to inside (20-23). Although Ahn et al. emphasized that enough removal of medial part of SAP is the important point of transforaminal approach (22), the decompression can be achieved at the early stage of our procedure. At present, we have no clear answer as to which operative route is a better strategy for L5-LFS. Thus, it is necessary to determine the appropriate operative indications for each approach; otherwise, our approach has no limitations for the high iliac crest or hypertrophied sacral ala (22).

It is also necessary to understand the limitations of our own procedure. Patient 8’s leg pain did not improve, even after appropriate decompression of the dorsal bone structure of the lumbar foramen. The foraminal length and width were extremely narrow in this patient (4 and 3 mm, respectively) compared with the successful cases (Table 1). The reason for this narrowness is the highly degenerative status of the corresponding spine and disc, including decreasing vertebral height, spondylolisthesis (Meyerding grade 2), and large osteophytes located on the ventral side of the foramen. We excluded cases with coexisting LDH and/or spondylolysis in this study; however, it seems that spondylolisthesis (Meyerding grade ≥2) also should have been excluded from this study. Furthermore, a narrow foramen (foraminal length <7 mm, foraminal width <6 mm) and/or large osteophytes on the ventral side of the foramen may be contraindications for our endoscopic technique of L5-LFS. Further improvements to the surgical technique are required to extend the application of this approach. At the present time, fusion surgery should still be considered for patients with the previously mentioned conditions.

Conclusions

The preliminary results obtained during our short follow-up period show that PETA is feasible for the treatment of unilateral L5-LFS. However, a highly degenerative condition such as spondylolisthesis (Meyerding grade ≥2), a narrow foramen, and a large osteophyte located on the ventral side of the lumbar foramen may be contraindications for this procedure.
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