Simultaneous anterior vertebral column resection-distraction and posterior rod contouring for restoration of sagittal balance: report of a technique
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Introduction

Adult spinal deformity often presents with a patient suffering from significant sagittal imbalance. This is associated with incapacitating back pain, postural instability and symptoms related to neurogenic claudication. In severe cases, patients may progressively develop swallowing and/or speech dysfunction, gastroesophageal reflux disease and neurological deterioration. When such clinical presentations are accompanied by a lumbar curve of more than 30–40°, a lateral listhesis of 6mm or greater and a progressive curve (as measured on plain radiographs), patients may require surgical intervention (1).

Complications in deformity correction may be as high as 40 percent (2). A proportion of these complications can be related to inadequate sagittal balance correction. Consequently, pre-operative planning to determine the degree of correction, is required. This is important in order to ensure that adequate correction is achieved (2).

Various osteotomies have been described to correct such a deformity and in severe cases it is possible to perform a vertebral body resection to correct the alignment. In the thoracic spine this is possible from a posterior approach, but this can be difficult in selected lumbar cases due to the importance of preserving the lumbar nerve roots (3). Here, we describe a circumferential vertebral body resection, followed by simultaneous anterior inter-vertebral distraction and posterior in situ rod contouring, to achieve a large degree of sagittal balance.

Case presentation

Case 1: A 62-year-old lady presented with a significant thoraco-lumbar curve with the apex at L1 and a Cobb angle of 40°. She complained of significant back pain, postural difficulty and an inability to perform daily tasks. She had no other significant co-morbidities (Figure 1).
Case 2: A 69-year-old lady presented with a thoracolumbar curve and a history of previous spinal surgery in the form of a L2 pedicle subtraction osteotomy (PSO) and L3/4 posterior lumbar interbody fusion with instrumented fusion from T8 to L5 (Figure 2). Within 9 months of the index operation she developed significant back pain at the cranial end of the construct with development of kyphosis. She had resultant difficulty in walking, postural instability and symptoms suggestive of gastro-esophageal reflux disorder (Figure 3).

Both patients were initially treated non-operatively, but subsequently considered for surgical intervention after a detailed multi-disciplinary assessment. Radiographic parameters for both are shown in Table 1.

### Surgical technique

Based on the analysis of the spino-pelvic balance using pre-operative radiographs, the degree of the correction required is determined and the level of osteotomy selected. The surgical steps are described below.

#### Stage 1: osteotomy

The patient is initially positioned prone and pressure areas are protected. Using a posterior midline approach, the osteotomy level is exposed. Pedicle screws are inserted at three spinal levels above and below the osteotomy site. At the level of the osteotomy, the dural sac and nerve roots are exposed by complete resection of posterior elements. Bilateral temporary rods are inserted. At this stage, it is important to place in situ benders underneath the temporary rods. This will facilitate contouring in Stage 2. The posterior wound is temporarily closed and covered with a waterproof dressing (Figure 4).
Stage 2: anterior distraction and posterior rod contouring

The patient is turned to the right lateral position (left side up), with a lateral retroperitoneal approach. The previously selected vertebral body and both adjacent intervertebral discs are exposed. The level is checked radiographically. The vertebral body and adjacent discs are excised; a 360° release is thus completed. An intervertebral spreader is inserted, with the flanges snugly fit on the endplates above and below. The posterior wound is now reopened. At this stage, the surgeon carries out contouring of the rods utilizing the previously applied in situ benders. An assistant applies anterior intervertebral distraction to assist posterior rod contouring, achieving maximum lordosis.

Once desired correction is achieved, a cage is impacted into the corpectomy site. This can either be an expandable or Moss cage filled with bone graft from the vertebral body. The temporary rods are tightened. Neuromonitoring is essential at this stage: a simultaneous anterior distraction and posterior rod contouring manoeuvre. The lateral retroperitoneal wound is closed in layers (Figure 5).

Stage 3: temporary rod exchange and closure

With the patient still in the right lateral position, temporary rods are exchanged and the whole construct is tightened. The posterior wound is then closed in layers (Figures 6,7).

Operating time and blood loss were 6 hours and 2.5 Litres (L) for the first patient and 7 hours and 3L for the second patient. In the second patient, who had a previous PSO and metal work in situ, all the metal work was removed and the pedicle screws were replaced with larger diameter rescue screws to achieve better purchase.

Discussion

Glassman et al. showed that even a mildly positive sagittal balance can be detrimental and that symptom severity can directly increase in proportion to a progressive sagittal imbalance (4). The goal of adult spinal deformity surgery is restoration of both the sagittal and coronal balance. Sagittal balance restoration is more important as it facilitates decreased energy requirements on walking, improving cosmesis and patient satisfaction. It also limits pain, fatigue and complications associated with new or untreated deformities (5).

There are several recognized techniques which describe correction of a fixed sagittal imbalance (6-8). These include posterior only or anterior/posterior combined approaches, osteotomies such as the Smith-Peterson osteotomy (SPO),
PSO and vertebral column resection (VCR). With regards to SPO, the amount of correction achievable is limited. SPO can also lengthen the anterior column with a risk of traction injury to the great vessels and pseudoarthrosis. PSO provides better correction, has superior mechanical stability and a high union rate. However, PSO can shorten the spinal column. Kawahara et al. studied the effect of acute shortening of the vertebral column on the spinal cord (9). When the spinal column is shortened by two thirds or more of a vertebral segment, the resultant effect is dural buckling and a reduction of the spinal cord blood flow. Although PSO provides better correction than SPO or chevron osteotomies, in severe sagittal imbalances it may not be sufficient. Schwab et al. reviewed sagittal realignment failures following PSO and suggested that a standard single level standard PSO may not achieve optimum outcome in patients with high pre-operative spino-pelvic mismatch. Such cases will require additional procedures beyond PSO (2).

The technique reported here allows for a greater degree of correction. Pre-operative planning requires careful analysis of the spino-pelvic and global sagittal balance. There are several important steps, which each require attention to detail.

Selection of osteotomy site

We believe that L2 allows easier access, through the retroperitoneal approach. If there is a resultant neurological deficit from the correction, it would involve the cauda
equina rather than the conus or spinal cord. The L2 level is
distal enough to achieve a greater degree of correction, but
also proximal enough to achieve sufficient purchase distally.
However, the choice of osteotomy level may be otherwise
chosen by the type of curve requiring correction.

**Pedicle screws**
As this technique heavily relies upon pedicle screws for
achieving correction, it is important to have adequate
screw purchase. We recommend standard diameter
screws, however rescue screws with or without cement
augmentation may also be used as an alternative.

**Correction manoeuver**
During a pre-operative analysis of radiographs, one should
aim to achieve a lumbar lordosis where pelvic incidence—
lumbar lordosis <10 (PI-LL<10) and the C7 plum line
(C7PL) falls through the posterior aspect of the sacrum. We
recommend contouring a rod to the desired angle for use as
a template, based on these pre-operative radiographs. This
rod can then be sterilized and used as a guide during the
operation.

During correction, there is a risk of stretching
the spinal cord with subsequent vascular infarct and
neurological consequences. We recommend multimodality
neuromonitoring throughout the correction manoeuver (10).

**Anterior column reconstruction**
Corpectomy and posterior release provide a large amount
of local bone. A bone graft-filled Moss or expandable cage
enhances the fusion and provides a strong strut for anterior
column reconstruction; the bone graft works optimally
under compressive forces through the anterior column. The
osteotomy site may be bridged using large struts or artificial
substitutes.

**Proximal and distal extension**
We use a limited posterior approach in Stage 1 to minimize
bleeding. If desired, the incision may be extended.

**Temporary rod exchange**
It is important to exchange the temporary rods due to the
stress riser effect generated by the contouring forces.

**Staging the procedure**
All stages of this technique may be carried out in one
sitting. However Stage 3 (rod exchange) may be scheduled
for another day, depending on the intraoperative parameters
of the patient.

**Complications**
One of the patients developed intestinal pseudo-obstruction
on the 3rd post-operative day, subsequently receiving a
diagnostic laparotomy. There were no other observed
complications.

**Post-operative care**
We recommend post-operative intensive care management
for 24–48 hours.

**Summary**
We report a technique which can be utilized in the
correction of severe sagittal imbalance in adult deformity
patients. The advantage of this technique is the potential to
achieve a larger correction. As with all deformity correction
there still remains the potential for complications.
Attention to detail is thus required for each of the critical
steps mentioned; every case needs to be considered on an
individual basis.
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